

**Abstract**

The CleanTax framework relates (aligns) taxonomies (inclusion hierarchies) to one another using relations drawn from the RCC-5 algebra. The taxonomies, represented as partial orders with additional constraints, can frequently (but not always) be represented with RCC-5 relations as well. Given two aligned taxonomies, CleanTax can infer new relations (articulations) between their concepts, detect inconsistent alignments, and merge taxonomies. Inference and inconsistency detection can be performed by a variety of reasoners, and in cases where all relations can be described by the RCC-5 algebra, qualitative spatial reasoners may be applied. When inferring new articulations between taxonomies, CleanTax often poses many highly related queries of the nature “given what we know about the relations between two taxonomies, T1 and T2, what do we know about the relationship between concept A in T1 and concept B in T2?” This context of posing many (millions) of simple, but highly related queries motivates the need for qualitative reasoning systems that can perform batch jobs and leverage reasoning performed in the past to optimize answering queries about similar situations. This paper describes the CleanTax framework and argues for the development of benchmarks that take throughput into consideration, as well as single-query response time.

**Introduction**

The CleanTax framework assists metadata curators as they attempt to align taxonomies. Imagine a biologist integrating data sets that contain information about various species. Species are organized into taxonomies, and these taxonomies evolve over time as new information is learned about the taxa. Because of this, the meanings of the species names may differ from data set to data set, depending on the taxonomy used by each data set; complicating data sharing and discovery. To address this problem, biologists are publishing alignments between well-known taxonomies.

CleanTax facilitates the creation and utilization of taxonomic alignments by detecting logically inconsistent alignments, and inferring unstated articulations between concepts. CleanTax also graphically displays taxonomies, taxonomy alignments, and taxonomic merges. Finally, CleanTax provides tools for integrating data registered to aligned taxonomies.
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Typically, not all of the articulations between concepts in two taxonomies will be given. The type of reasoner employed to infer these unstated articulations depends largely on the GTCs in effect. When the parent coverage GTC is not in effect, all of the relationships fall under the RCC-5 algebra, and therefore a qualitative spatial reasoner may be applied. When the coverage GTC is in effect, articulations are converted into logic formulas (Thau and Ludascher 2007) and then a first-order logic reasoner is applied.

When the relationship between two concepts is uncertain, disjunctions of the RCC-5 relations may be applied. The power set of the basic five relations (R_{32}) describes all of the possible disjunctive relations. Many of these R_{32} relations have been used in the real-world taxonomic alignments we have considered. In practice, the aligned taxonomies we have seen can be described by tractable subsets of the R_{32} relations (Renz and Nebel 1997). However, this is not necessarily the case, and particularly when integrating data, it may often be the case that a problem falls into a non-tractable subset of the RCC-5.

Figure 1: Overview of the CLEANTax framework.

**Implementation**

The CLEANTax framework roughly follows the flowchart shown in Figure 1. Two taxonomies, and the articulations between them are each checked for consistency under each set of taxonomic constraints. The sets of taxonomic constraints which are consistent for both taxonomies and the articulations are then applied to the combined taxonomies and articulations, and again, consistency is checked for each set of constraints. For each surviving set of constraints, the relationship between each pair of concepts in the combined taxonomies is determined by iterating through some set of the R_{32}. Various optimizations have been devised to limit the number of questions asked (Thau 2008). However in fairly large taxonomies (between 100 and 200 concepts), the queries still number in the tens of thousands. Once the queries have been asked, the system reports on the relations between each pair of concepts in the taxonomies.

**Reasoner Requirements**

The CLEANTax framework requires a reasoner which can answer tens of thousands of very similar, fairly simple queries. This requirement advocates for reasoners, and benchmarks which stress throughput, rather than single-query response times. To maximize throughput, a reasoner should be able to reuse results from previous queries. It should also be able to schedule queries in a way that might maximize the possibility for reuse. Optimally, the scheduler would be able to divide queries into partitions that could be run in parallel, for cluster computing environments.

**Conclusion**

We have presented here CLEANTax, a framework for applying the RCC-5 algebra toward reasoning about aligned taxonomies. This application of the algebra leads to requirements that may not be common among other qualitative spatial reasoning applications. We hope that the application of the RCC-5 algebra in this context can motivate requirements that may generalize to other domains.
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